

operations nonetheless.

VII. Technical Restrictions

A number of commentators oppose the Commission's proposed emission mask and frequency stability requirements. See, e.g., Comments of Motorola Inc. at pp. 33-34 and APCO at p. 20. The Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA) urges the Commission to adopt TIA's suggested emission recommendations. See TIA Comments at Appendix B; see also Motorola Comments at pp. 33-34 (supporting TIA

existing one-way equipment that is currently being used by the alarm industry. With regard to the proposed elimination of the two watt power limit for offset operations, it would appear that this power limit would be raised to 30 watts pursuant to proposed Rule Section 88.733(a)(4). However, as noted in AICC's comments (at p. 22), AICC would advocate that, if a licensee is willing to continue to observe a two watt output power limit for radios installed at protected customer premises, these radios should be treated the same as mobile units, i.e., not requiring separate licensing.

VIII. Exclusive Use Overlay

AICC notes that an overwhelming number of commentors favor a public safety exception to the loading requirements needed to justify an exclusive use overlay (EUO) grant. See e.g., AASHTO Comments at p. 5; Mitchell Energy & Development Corp. Comments at p. 5; City of Glendale, California Comments at p. 2; State of Nebraska at p. 4. AICC agrees with these commentors, and opposes those (such as PacTel at p. 4, PowerSpectrum at p. 7, GTE at p. 4 and CelPage at p. 10) who do not appear to allow for a public safety exemption from the loading requirement of any sort.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted